

Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT – MAIN REPORT

Client: D S Smith Paper Ltd
Site: The Kemsley Mill K4 CHP Generating Station DCO
Date: August 2018
Reference: Document 3.1
Editors: Tim Spicer
BSc MSc PIEMA



DHA Environment
Eclipse House
Eclipse Park
Sittingbourne Road
Maidstone
Kent
ME14 3EN

Tel: 01622 776226
Email: info@dhaenvironment.co.uk
Web: www.dhaenvironment.co.uk

Part of the DHA Group
Planning | Urban Design | Transport | Environment

Contents

1 INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Environmental Impact Assessment..... | 1 |
| 1.3 | ES Content | 2 |
| 1.4 | Other Documentation | 3 |
| 1.5 | The Consultant Team..... | 3 |
| 1.6 | ES Availability..... | 4 |
| 1.7 | Making representations on the application..... | 5 |

2 SITE DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Introduction..... | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | The Site and wider area | 2-1 |
| 2.3 | DS Smith Paper Ltd and Kemsley Paper Mill..... | 2-1 |
| 2.4 | The Proposed Development | 2-2 |
| 2.5 | Decommissioning of K1 | 2-7 |
| 2.6 | Ancillary facilities and services..... | 2-7 |
| 2.7 | Construction of the Proposed Development | 2-9 |
| 2.8 | Post construction..... | 2-13 |
| 2.9 | Decommissioning K4..... | 2-16 |
| 2.10 | Alternatives and Primary Mitigation..... | 2-17 |

3 METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 3.1 | Introduction..... | 3-1 |
| 3.2 | The scope of the EIA..... | 3-1 |
| 3.3 | Key issues identified in scoping | 3-4 |
| 3.4 | EIA assessment methodology – general approach | 3-4 |
| 3.5 | Determining the significance of effects in the ES..... | 3-6 |
| 3.6 | Identification of mitigation measures and significant effects | 3-7 |
| 3.7 | Cumulative effects | 3-7 |
| 3.8 | General format of the topic chapters | 3-9 |
| 3.9 | Assumptions and Limitations | 3-10 |

4 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 4.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 4.3 | Methodology | 4 |
| 4.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 11 |
| 4.5 | Future Baseline | 16 |
| 4.6 | Predicted Effects | 22 |
| 4.7 | Decommissioning | 28 |
| 4.8 | Mitigation | 28 |
| 4.9 | Residual Effects | 30 |
| 4.10 | Cumulative Effects | 30 |
| 4.11 | Summary | 30 |
| | References | 31 |

5 AIR QUALITY

| | | |
|-----|------------------------------|---|
| 5.1 | Purpose of this Chapter..... | 1 |
|-----|------------------------------|---|

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 5.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 5.3 | Assessment Methodology | 5 |
| 5.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 21 |
| 5.5 | Future baseline..... | 23 |
| 5.6 | Predicted Effects | 26 |
| 5.7 | Decommissioning | 34 |
| 5.8 | Mitigation | 34 |
| 5.9 | Residual Effects | 35 |
| 5.10 | Cumulative Effects | 35 |
| 5.11 | Summary | 38 |
| | References | 38 |

6 CLIMATE CHANGE

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 6.1 | Purpose of this Chapter..... | 1 |
| 6.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 6.3 | Assessment Methodology | 9 |
| 6.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 16 |
| 6.5 | Future baseline..... | 17 |
| 6.6 | Predicted Effects | 17 |
| 6.7 | Mitigation | 38 |
| 6.8 | Residual Effects | 19 |
| 6.9 | Cumulative Effects | 19 |
| 6.10 | Summary | 20 |
| | References | 28 |

7 NOISE & VIBRATION

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 7.1 | Purpose of this Chapter..... | 1 |
| 7.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 7.3 | Methodology | 8 |
| 7.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 13 |
| 7.5 | Existing Noise Environment | 14 |
| 7.6 | Predicted Effects | 15 |
| 7.7 | Mitigation | 25 |
| 7.8 | Residual Effects | 26 |
| 7.9 | Cumulative Effects | 26 |
| 7.10 | Summary | 28 |
| | References | 28 |

8 GROUND CONDITIONS

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 8.1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 8.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 8.3 | Methodology | 4 |
| 8.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 10 |

| | | |
|------|--------------------------|----|
| 8.5 | Future Baseline | 21 |
| 8.6 | Predicted Effects | 22 |
| 8.7 | Mitigation | 32 |
| 8.8 | Residual Effects | 34 |
| 8.9 | Cumulative Effects | 34 |
| 8.10 | Summary | 34 |
| | References | 35 |

9 WATER ENVIRONMENT

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 9.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 9.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 9.3 | Methodology | 5 |
| 9.4 | Baseline Conditions | 13 |
| 9.5 | Future baseline | 20 |
| 9.6 | Standard Mitigation Measures | 20 |
| 9.7 | Predicted Effects | 22 |
| 9.8 | Mitigation | 30 |
| 9.9 | Residual Effects | 32 |
| 9.10 | Cumulative Effects | 32 |
| | References | 35 |

10 ECOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 10.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 10.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 10.3 | Methodology | 5 |
| 10.4 | Baseline Conditions | 14 |
| 10.5 | Future baseline | 19 |
| 10.6 | Predicted Effects – Construction | 19 |
| 10.7 | Decommissioning | 35 |
| 10.8 | Future Monitoring | 35 |
| 10.9 | Mitigation – Construction Phase | 35 |
| 10.10 | Mitigation – Operational Phase | 35 |
| 10.11 | Residual Effects | 35 |
| 10.12 | Cumulative Effects | 36 |
| | References | 40 |

11 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 11.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 11.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 11.3 | Methodology | 4 |
| 11.4 | Baseline Conditions | 10 |
| 11.5 | Future baseline | 26 |
| 11.6 | Predicted Effects | 27 |
| 11.7 | Mitigation | 38 |

| | | |
|-------|--------------------------|----|
| 11.8 | Residual Effects | 39 |
| 11.9 | Cumulative Effects | 39 |
| 11.10 | Summary | 42 |
| | References | 45 |

12 ARCHAEOLOGY & CULTURAL HERITAGE

| | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 12.1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 12.2 | Regulatory and Policy Framework | 1 |
| 12.3 | Methodology | 4 |
| 12.4 | Baseline Conditions..... | 14 |
| 12.5 | Future baseline..... | 18 |
| 12.6 | Predicted Effects | 20 |
| 12.7 | Mitigation | 30 |
| 12.8 | Residual Effects | 31 |
| 12.9 | Cumulative Effects | 31 |
| 12.10 | Summary | 31 |
| | References | 33 |

13 SUMMARY TABLES

14 GLOSSARY

| <u>FIGURES</u> | |
|-----------------------|---|
| 1.1 | Site location Plan |
| 2.1 | Aerial view of the Site |
| 2.2a-f | Designated sites in proximity to the Paper Mill |
| 2.3 | Plan showing the location of the Paper Mill's existing energy sources |
| 2.4 a | Work No.1 - works plan with limits of deviation for vertical tube boiler |
| 2.4b | Work No.1 - works plan with limits of deviation for horizontal tube boiler |
| 2.5 | Works Plans - Key Plan |
| 2.6a | Illustrative layout with horizontal tube boiler |
| 2.6b | Illustrative layout with vertical tube boiler |
| 2.7-2.10 | 3D CGI's showing how K4 is intended to look in the context of the existing Paper Mill. |
| 2.11 | Infographic showing the key stages of the CHP process |
| 2.12 | Plan showing the location of tie-ins to existing site facilities |
| 3.2 | A plan showing the location and description of cumulative sites and projects considered in the ES |
| 4.1 | Access to the Mill |
| 5.1 | Wind rose diagrams |
| 5.2 | Sensitive receptors (air quality) |
| 5.3 | 99.79th percentile Hourly-mean NO ₂ Process Contributions (µg.m ⁻³) |
| 5.4 | Maximum 8-hour Running Mean CO Process Contributions (µg.m ⁻³) |
| 5.5 | Annual-mean NO ₂ Process Contributions (µg.m ⁻³) |
| 7.1 | Noise Prediction Locations |
| 7.2 | Noise Survey Locations |
| 7.3 | Construction Noise Contour |
| 7.4 | Operational Noise Contour – Normal Operation |

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| 7.5 | Operational Noise Contour – Normal Operation and Dump Condensers |
| 7.6 | Operational Noise Contour – Normal Operation and Steam Release Valves |
| 8.1 | Plan showing the location of previous ground investigations in proximity to the Site |
| 10.1a-m | Designated sites location plans |
| 10.2a | Protected species distributions - amphibians and reptiles |
| 10.2b | Protected species distributions - birds |
| 10.2c | Protected species distributions - Flora |
| 10.2d | Protected species distributions - Invertebrates |
| 10.2e | Protected species distributions - bats |
| 10.2f | Protected species distributions - Mammals |
| 10.3 | Phase 1 habitat survey map |
| 10.4 | Breeding bird territories |
| 10.5 | Construction noise contours and birds |
| 11.1 | Z one of theoretical I visibility (Z T V) within a 10 km radius study area |
| 11.2 | Aerial context photo of the Site |
| 11.3 | Landscape and relevant designations and national landscape character |
| 11.4 | Z one of Theoretical I Visibility (Z T V) and photographic viewpoint location |
| 11.5-10 | Existing views of the site |
| 11.11 | Landscape character areas of the Swale District |
| 11.12-17 | CGI viewpoints of the Paper Mill with K4 |
| 12.1 | Existing view towards the site |
| 12.2 | Designated heritage assets in proximity to the site |

TECHNICAL APPENDICES

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Appendix 1.1 | ES Author CVs |
| Appendix 2.1 | Outline CEMP |
| Appendix 3.1 | Scoping Report |
| Appendix 3.2 | PINS Scoping Opinion |
| Appendix 3.3 | Response to the PINS Scoping Opinion |
| Appendix 3.4 | S42 Consultation Letter |
| Appendix 3.5 | Response to S42 Consultation |
| Appendix 4.1 | Transport Assessment |
| Appendix 4.2 | 5 year personal injury accident plan |
| Appendix 4.3 | 2019 Baseline link flows (2019 base + committed) |
| Appendix 4.4 | Average and Peak Construction Traffic Link Flows |
| Appendix 4.5 | 2019 Baseline + Average and Peak Construction Traffic Link Flows |
| Appendix 4.6 | 2019 Cumulative link flows (2019 Baseline + cumulative + average and peak construction) |
| Appendix 5.1 | Air Quality Consultation |
| Appendix 5.2 | Construction Dust assessment methodology |
| Appendix 5.3 | Stack Height Determination |
| Appendix 5.4 | Air Quality assessment of ecological impacts |
| Appendix 6.1 | Greenhouse gas calculations |
| Appendix 7.1 | Noise Baseline Survey Results |
| Appendix 8.1 | Ground conditions Desk Study and Preliminary Risk Assessment |

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Appendix 9.1 | Flood Risk Assessment |
| Appendix 9.2 | Environment Agency Flood Risk Data |
| Appendix 10.1 | Consultation with KCC Ecology |
| Appendix 10.2 | No Significant Effects HRA Report |
| Appendix 11.1 | Correspondence with KCC Landscape |
| Appendix 12.1 | Desk Based Baseline Heritage Assessment |